

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexamdria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,475	09/22/2003	Tetsuya Taki	T36-160821M/KOH	T36-160821M/KOH 5511	
21254	7590 09/09/2005		EXAMINER		
MCGINN & GIBB, PLLC 8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD			NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, TUAN H	
SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
VIENNA, VA 22182-3817			2813		

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		H [,]
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/665,475	TAKI, TETSUYA	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tuan H. Nguyen	2813	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff ctice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	-		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•		ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	,.	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant / inchainch	(I TOL-024).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:Claim(s) objected to: <u>14,15,17 and 18</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13,16,19 and 20</u> .	•		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	•		•
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 	ut does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowa	nce because:
10 T N 1 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	/DTO/00/00 - DTO 4440' D	1-7-3	

Tuan H. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2813

13. Other: ____.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: There are two types of impurities, n-type and p-type, as well-known in the semiconductor art, and the "second Group III nitride compound semiconductor layer comprises one of no impurities" as claimed in claims 1, and 20 is broad enough to be interpreted as no n-type impurities. The language does not preclude the p-type impurities as disclosed by the reference to Hasegawa.